



# Language Environment Characteristics of Young Children with ASD in Relation to Child Language Level

Sloane Burgess, Lisa Audet, and Schea Fissel  
*Kent State University*



# Study Purpose

- ❖ To describe and compare language environment characteristics of young children with ASD with varying language levels using LENA and SALT data
  - Amount of language
  - Types of utterances
  - Functions of utterances



# Participants

- ❖ Thirteen male children
- ❖ Ages 28-67 months at the beginning of the study
- ❖ Nine with identified with autism and four identified with ASD based on ADOS scores
- ❖ All participants had language scores below average
  - Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales Communication SS (M = 69, Range, 40-87)
  - CELF-P2 Core Language SS (M = 67, Range, 59-79)



# Method

- ❖ Parent consent, , parent interview
  - Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales
  - Parenting Stress Index
- ❖ Child participant assessments
  - ADOS
  - CELF-P2
- ❖ Language sample collection every 3 months
- ❖ Select highest AWC segment at school and home for SALT transcription



# SALT Transcription

- ❖ Establish reliability
- ❖ Use typical SALT conventions for utterance boundaries and identification of morphemes
- ❖ Developed and used special codes to be able to identify the number/percent of utterances directed to target child, yes/no questions, and pragmatic functions of adult utterances



# Results

- ❖ Overall descriptive statistics
- ❖ Independent t-tests to compare quantity, type, and function between low language (MLU < 2.0) and high language (MLU  $\geq$  2.0) groups



## Descriptive Statistics – Total Sample

- ❖ Participants exposed to a large number of words ( $M = 1483$  per hour)
- ❖ Only 32 (51%) of samples had 75% or higher of utterances that were child directed (CD)
- ❖ Three participants representing 10 samples did not have any with 75% or greater of utterances directed to them; 7 of those were from children with  $MLU < 2.0$



# Group Comparison - Amount

- ❖ Independent t-tests used to compare number of words by language level,  $< 2.0$  and  $\geq 2.0$

	Total Sample N = 32	MLU $< 2.0$ N = 13	MLU $\geq 2.0$ N = 19
AWC per hour	1757	1988	1611
AWC per 15 minutes	1195	1158	1219
SALT number words	900	966	855
Salt number different words	225	234	218





# Group Comparison - Type

- ❖ Independent t-tests used to compare sentence types by language level,  $< 2.0$  and  $\geq 2.0$

	MLU $< 2.0$		MLU $\geq 2.0$		<i>t</i> -test
	M	SD	M	SD	
Statements	69	8	61	10	2.45*
Exclamations	3	4	3	3	ns
Intonation Prompts	1	2	3	4	ns
Imitations	1	1	3	2	-2.63*
Questions	26	7	32	8	<b>ns</b>
Yes/No Questions	60	16	50	15	ns
Verbal Utterances	99	1	99	1	-2.13*
One-Word Utterances	14	6	15	7	ns



# Group Comparison - Function

❖ Independent t-tests used to compare function by language level,  $< 2.0$  and  $\geq 2.0$

	MLU $< 2.0$		MLU $\geq 2.0$		<i>t</i> -test
	M	SD	M	SD	
Comments	45	22	30	17	2.20*
Labels	6	6	4	4	ns
Requests for Verbal Behavior	4	5	7	7	ns
Social Niceties and Routines	9	12	5	3	ns
Sharing Information	2	3	9	11	-2.30*
Verbal Reinforcements	11	8	20	13	-2.05*
Directives for Motor Behavior	21	15	19	11	ns
Directives for Prohibition	2	2	1	1	ns
Verbal Corrections	2	3	4	5	<b>ns</b>
Multiple Pragmatic Functions	25	14	31	20	ns



## Other Interesting Results

- ❖ Adult MLU did not differ between low ( $M = 7.19$ ) and high ( $M = 7.08$ ) language groups
- ❖ Adult use of multiple pragmatic functions did not differ between low ( $M = 25$ ) and high ( $M = 31$ ) language groups



# Summary

- ❖ Positive finding that young children with ASD are exposed to a high number of adult words
- ❖ Less positive finding that often adults are not consistently directing their language to young children with ASD
- ❖ Some evidence of individualization of adult language in relation to child language level but perhaps not as much as expected
- ❖ Need data on language environment characteristics of typically developing children and other disabled children for comparison